#### Review

# Antithrombotic dilemmas in stroke medicine: new data, unsolved challenges

Jonathan G Best, <sup>1</sup> Beatrix Cardus, <sup>2</sup> Catharina J M Klijn <sup>1</sup>, <sup>3</sup> Gregory Lip, <sup>4,5</sup> David J Seiffge <sup>1</sup>, <sup>6</sup> Eric E Smith, <sup>7</sup> David J Werring <sup>1</sup>

#### ABSTRACT

Antithrombotic therapy is a key element of secondary

stroke or transient ischaemic attack. However, its use

in clinical practice is not always straightforward. This

review provides an update on certain difficult scenarios

in antithrombotic management, with a focus on recent

clinical trials and large observational studies. We

patients with indications for both anticoagulant

and antiplatelet treatment, and patients in whom

antithrombotic treatment fails to prevent stroke. We

cardioembolic stroke, and the use of antithrombotics

disease. Despite a wealth of new evidence, numerous

uncertainties remain and we highlight ongoing trials

in patients with asymptomatic cerebrovascular

also review the timing of anticoagulation initiation after

discuss the approach to patients with an indication

for antithrombotic treatment who also have clinical or

radiological evidence of previous intracranial bleeding,

prevention in patients who have had an ischaemic

► Additional supplemental material is published online only. To view, please visit the journal online (http://dx. doi.org/10.1136/jnnp-2020-325249).

<sup>1</sup>Stroke Research Centre, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology, London, UK <sup>2</sup>Royal Surrey County Hospital, Royal Surrey NHS Foundation Trust, Guildford, UK <sup>3</sup>Department of Neurology, Radboud University Medical Centre, Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition and Behaviour, Nijmegen, Netherlands <sup>4</sup>Liverpool Centre for Cardiovascular Science, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, 1 IK <sup>5</sup>Aalborg Thrombosis Research Unit, Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark <sup>6</sup>Department of Neurology, Inselspital University Hospital, Bern, Switzerland <sup>7</sup>Calgary Stroke Program, Department of Clinical Neurosciences, Radiology and Community Health Sciences, Hotchkiss Brain Institute, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta, Canada

#### Correspondence to

Dr David J Werring, Stroke Research Centre, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology, London, UK; d.werring@ucl. ac.uk

Received 16 July 2021 Accepted 16 May 2022 Published Online First 21 June 2022

#### Check for updates

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2022. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

To cite: Best JG, Cardus B, Klijn CJM, et al. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2022;93:939–951.

BMJ

Best JG, et al. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2022;93:939–951. doi:10.1136/jnnp-2020-325249

#### **INTRODUCTION**

addressing these.

Antithrombotic therapy remains the cornerstone of secondary prevention after ischaemic stroke or transient ischaemic attack (TIA). Antiplatelet or anticoagulant drugs are prescribed for most patients with ischaemic stroke and are indicated in a substantial proportion of those with intracerebral haemorrhage (ICH). These drugs are associated with a small yet unpredictable increase in bleeding risk (of which intracranial bleeding is the most lethal or disabling) but in many cases the benefits will outweigh the risks. However, stroke is not a homogeneous disease, and an important part of clinical practice is recognising when a deviation from routine antithrombotic therapy is necessary, perhaps due to safety concerns in patients at high risk of bleeding, or to balance competing indications for treatment in patients with vascular comorbidities. Despite a large body of evidence, practical dilemmas in how best to use antithrombotic treatment remain common, including when to start anticoagulants after stroke, and what to do when antithrombotics fail to prevent stroke. Whether antithrombotic treatment might benefit those with incidental cerebrovascular disease, an increasingly common scenario given the frequent use of brain CT and MRI, is also unknown. In this review, we discuss each of these areas in turn, summarising the available evidence, highlighting ongoing studies and

priorities for further research, and giving recommendations for management where possible.

# ANTITHROMBOTIC MEDICATION IN PATIENTS WITH ICH

At least one-third of patients presenting with ICH use antithrombotic medication: antiplatelets in 15%-40% and oral anticoagulants (OAC) in 15%-25% (mainly because of atrial fibrillation (AF)).<sup>1-5</sup> Case fatality after ICH is approximately 40% at 1 month,<sup>6</sup> and even higher in patients on antithrombotic treatment.<sup>278</sup> In those who survive, clinicians face the dilemma if, in whom, and when antithrombotic medication should be reinitiated. As antithrombotic treatment is frequent in the elderly and the population continues to age, the absolute number of patients with ICH while on antithrombotic treatment is likely to increase with time. Although the adoption of non-vitamin K OACs (NOACs) for prevention of thromboembolism in patients with AF might reduce the incidence of OAC-associated ICH,<sup>9</sup> the increased uptake of OAC in the elderly is likely to balance this effect.<sup>4 10</sup> Current guidelines provide only weak recommendations on antithrombotic medication after ICH.<sup>11 12</sup> Many clinicians have been reluctant to reinitiate antithrombotic treatment, in particular OACs, after ICH, especially in older patients, frail patients at risk of falling, and patients suspected to have cerebral amyloid angiopathy (CAA).<sup>13 14</sup> In an observational cohort study describing patients from four different countries, the percentage of patients who restarted antithrombotic drugs after ICH varied between 11% and 45%.<sup>13</sup> However, increasing awareness that in patients with ICH the long-term risk of ischaemic stroke and other thromboembolic events may be at least as high as the risk of ICH,<sup>15</sup> and even higher in those with non-lobar ICH<sup>16</sup> or AF,<sup>17 18</sup> is starting to shift secondary prevention paradigms.

#### **Current evidence**

Acutely, patients with ICH are often immobile and at risk of deep venous thrombosis and pulmonary embolism. The optimal time to initiate prophylactic low-molecular-weight heparin (LMWH) is uncertain. A Cochrane review identified two small randomised controlled trials (RCTs) and found no differences between treatment groups in the occurrence of deep venous thrombosis (relative risk (RR) 0.99, 95% CI 0.49 to 1.96; n=121) or pulmonary embolism (RR 0.54, 95% CI 0.23 to

1.28; n=121) between those that started LMWH after 2 days or heparin from day four and controls (all patients also received graduated compression stockings).<sup>19</sup> Observational data suggest that LMWH started within 48 hours after ICH is probably safe as it did not result in haematoma expansion compared with patients who did not receive this treatment or received it later.<sup>12</sup> However, practice varies, with some clinicians choosing to defer LWMH initiation for several days, often with the use of intermittent pneumatic compression in the interim.<sup>20</sup>

The RESTART trial suggests that antiplatelet therapy after ICH is safe. In 536 participants with ICH while on antithrombotic medication, over a median follow-up duration of 3.0 years, the 268 patients allocated to antiplatelet therapy had a similar risk of recurrent ICH (22 participants, 8.2%) as the 268 allocated to avoid antiplatelet therapy (25 participants, 9.3%, adjusted HR (aHR) 0.87, 95% CI 0.49 to 1.55).<sup>21</sup> The risks of major vascular events were also similar in both groups (HR 0.79, 95% CI 0.58 to 1.08). The median time between onset and randomisation was 76 days (IQR 29–146). The absolute risk of major occlusive events was about 1.5 to 2 times higher than the risk of major haemorrhagic events.

The Start or Stop Anticoagulants Randomised Trial (SoSTART) randomised 203 participants with previous spontaneous intracranial haemorrhage (92% intracerebral, 84% OAC-associated) to start or avoid OAC.<sup>22</sup> The median time from onset to randomisation was 115 days (IQR 49-265), and nearly all participants starting anticoagulation received an NOAC. Participants were followed up for a median of 1.2 years (251 person-years of follow-up). Participants allocated to start OAC had more intracranial haemorrhage recurrences (8 of 100, 8.0%) than those allocated to avoid OAC (4 of 102, 3.9%), but the prespecified margin for declaring non-inferiority was not met. For the three prespecified composite secondary outcomes (any symptomatic major vascular event, any stroke, or any stroke or vascular death), the aHRs ranged between 0.51 and 0.55, suggesting that starting OAC might be superior to avoiding OAC, but none of the analyses reached statistical significance. The APACHE-AF (Apixaban After Anticoagulation-associated Intracerebral Haemorrhage in Patients with Atrial Fibrillation) trial randomised 101 participants with ICH in the previous 90 days to apixaban or no anticoagulation, a median of 46 days (IQR 21-74) after onset, and followed participants for a median of 1.9 years (222 personyears of follow-up).<sup>23</sup> The primary outcome of stroke or vascular death occurred in 13 (26%) of 50 participants allocated to apixaban and in 12 (24%) of 51 allocated to avoid anticoagulation (aHR 1.05, 95% CI 0.48 to 2.31). More participants allocated to apixaban than allocated to avoid anticoagulation had a recurrent ICH (aHR 4.08, 95% CI 0.45 to 39.91), but there was no difference between the treatment groups in the rate of ischaemic stroke (aHR 0.96, 95% CI 0.31 to 2.97). Before the results of these trials, observational studies had suggested that survivors of intracranial haemorrhage recommencing OAC had a lower risk of ischaemic stroke (RR 0.46, 95% CI 0.29 to 0.72), whereas the risk of recurrent ICH was comparable (RR 1.23, 95% CI 0.80 to 1.87) to those who did not,  $^{24}$  and that OAC resumption was associated with a decreased risk of death and of ischaemic stroke and comparable risk of ICH recurrence, both in nonlobar and in lobar haemorrhage.<sup>25</sup> OAC resumption was associated with decreased risk of death (aHR 0.27, 95% CI 0.08 to 0.86 for possible CAA (n=136); aHR 0.30, 95% CI 0.10 to 0.92 for probable CAA (n=54)).<sup>26</sup> The results of these observational studies could have been confounded by indication, and most patients on anticoagulation were treated with vitamin K antagonists (VKAs). The neutral results of SoSTART and APACHE-AF

underline the need for more evidence to identify subgroups of patients with AF and ICH or intracranial haemorrhage in whom the effect of restarting anticoagulation might be either beneficial or hazardous.

There are no randomised comparisons of the timing of recommencing antithrombotics after ICH, nor any ongoing RCTs. Observational data from 2619 ICH survivors included in the Swedish Stroke Register suggest that the optimal timing of initiation of OACs is 7–8 weeks after the ICH for prevention of stroke or vascular death and minimising the risk of severe haemorrhage.<sup>27</sup> In patients with mechanical heart valves, earlier reinitiation of anticoagulation (with heparins or VKAs) should be considered due to the high risk of valve thrombosis. Based on a multicentre cohort study, a start time between 6 and 13 days after ICH, depending on anticipated thromboembolic risk, might be optimal.<sup>28</sup>

#### **Research priorities**

Two further studies of antiplatelet use are ongoing (RESTART-Fr, NCT02966119, n=280; STATICH, NCT03186729, n=250), as are six further studies addressing whether to restart OACs in patients with AF who have had an ICH (table 1), mostly testing the use of NOACs against other strategies, including no OAC.<sup>29</sup> Of these, NASPAF-ICH has completed inclusion, and a pooled analysis of SoSTART, APACHE-AF and NASPAF-ICH is planned.<sup>30</sup> A3ICH is a three-arm trial also including left atrial appendage occlusion (LAAO). The potential advantage of LAAO is that long term antithrombotic medication can be avoided. However, after implantation of the device antiplatelet therapy is needed, initially dual therapy, then monotherapy for about 6 months. In STROKECLOSE (NCT 02830152), LAAO (followed by aspirin for at least 6 months with or without clopidogrel in the first 45 days) is compared with OAC, antiplatelet therapy or no antithrombotic therapy at all, for prevention of a composite of stroke (ischaemic or haemorrhagic), systemic embolism, lifethreatening or major bleeding and all-cause mortality in patients with ICH. In this context one should consider that ischaemic stroke may arise from other origins than the left atrial appendage (in AF) and non-AF sources (eg, arteriosclerosis, small vessel disease) and it is debatable whether antiplatelet therapy should be stopped entirely after LAAO in patients at high risk of ischaemic stroke.

#### **Recommendations**

Based on the currently available evidence, restarting antiplatelets in patients who have had an intracranial haemorrhage appears safe, and there is currently no clear preference for starting or avoiding OAC in patients with AF and previous ICH or intracranial haemorrhage. If starting OAC is considered, an NOAC is generally preferred over VKAs, although data remain limited in patients with ICH. Information on the timing of initiation of OACs after ICH is scare, but 7–8 weeks appears a sensible optimum for avoiding early haemorrhagic events and prevention of ischaemic complications. The place of LAAO is yet to be determined. We encourage randomisation into ongoing clinical trial of OAC and LAAO in patients with ICH and AF.

# ANTITHROMBOTIC MEDICATION IN PATIENTS WITH CEREBRAL MICROBLEEDS

Cerebral microbleeds are small, black, rounded lesions seen on MRI scans sensitive to paramagnetic material; scans of this type include T2\*-weighted gradient recalled echo and susceptibility-weighted imaging.<sup>31</sup> Histological correlation studies indicate that

Table 1         Ongoing trials of anticoagulation in patients with AF and previous ICH								
Study	Setting	Eligibility criteria	Intervention	Control	Primary outcome(s)			
NASPAF <i>NCT02998905</i>	Canada	Previous ICH AF, CHADS2 2+	Any NOAC	Aspirin 81 mg/day	ICH and ischaemic stroke Mean follow-up 1 year			
ASPIRE <i>NCT03907046</i>	United States	Previous ICH within 14–180 days AF or atrial flutter, CHA <sub>2</sub> DS <sub>2</sub> - VASc 2+	Apixaban	Aspirin 81 mg/day	All-cause stroke and death of any cause within 3 years			
ENRICH-AF NCT03950076	North America, Europe, Asia	Previous symptomatic intracranial haemorrhage AF, CHA <sub>2</sub> DS <sub>2</sub> -VASc 2+	Edoxaban	Single antiplatelet or no antithrombotic	(1) All-cause stroke, (2) Major haemorrhage, median follow- up 2 years			
PRESTIGE-AF NCT03996772	Europe	Previous ICH within 6 months AF, CHA <sub>2</sub> DS <sub>2</sub> -VASc 2+ (men), 3+ (women)	Any NOAC	Antiplatelet or no antithrombotic	(1) Time to first ischaemic stroke, (2) Time to first ICH, within 3 years			
STATICH <i>NCT03186729</i>	Europe	Previous ICH AF or vascular disease with antiplatelet treatment indicated	AF: Any anticoagulant No AF: Any antiplatelet	AF: No anticoagulant No AF: No antiplatelet	ICH within 2 years			
A3ICH <i>NCT03243175</i>	France	Previous ICH AF, CHA <sub>2</sub> DS <sub>2</sub> -VASc 2+	<ol> <li>Apixaban</li> <li>LAAO</li> </ol>	Antiplatelet or no antithrombotic, no LAAO	All-cause stroke, major cardiovascular events, and major bleeding, within 2 years			

AF, atrial fibrillation; ICH, intracerebral haemorrhage; LAAO, left atrial appendage occlusion; NOAC, non-vitamin K oral anticoagulant.

most radiological microbleeds correspond, as hypothesised, to small areas of bleeding related to cerebral small vessels.<sup>32</sup> Cerebral microbleeds have found clinical application as a biomarker of the presence and type of cerebral small vessel disease. In particular, a strictly lobar location has good diagnostic accuracy for CAA, at least in hospital-based cohorts of patients with symptomatic ICH (figure 1).<sup>33</sup>

For the last two decades or so, microbleeds have generated substantial research interest as well as clinical anxiety regarding their potential role in predicting the future risk of intracranial haemorrhage. It is hypothesised that as a marker of fragile, bleeding-prone small vessels, microbleeds will be associated with increased intracranial bleeding risk, particularly in patients treated with antithrombotic drugs.

#### **Current evidence**

Multiple prospective cohort studies have now confirmed a clear association between increasing microbleed burden and intracranial haemorrhage risk.<sup>34 35</sup> A recent large-scale international collaborative study has also demonstrated that cerebral microbleeds improve the prediction of intracranial haemorrhage in patients with previous ischaemic stroke or TIA, and that a cerebral microbleed-based risk score (the Microbleeds International Collaborative Network - Intracranial Haemorrhage score, MICON-ICH) has improved discrimination for intracranial haemorrhage compared with widely used clinical prediction instruments like the HAS-BLED (Hypertension, Abnormal renal/ liver function, Stroke history, Bleeding, Labile international normalised ratio, Elderly, Drugs), ATRIA (Anticoagulation and Risk factors in Atrial Fibrilllation) or ORBIT (Outcomes Registry for Better Informed Treatment of Atrial Fibrillation) scores.<sup>3</sup> However, the same data indicate that microbleeds are also associated with an increased risk of ischaemic stroke, although they do not appear to improve discrimination for ischaemic stroke risk over clinical variables alone.<sup>36</sup> In a pooled analysis of individual patient data from 38 studies, the absolute risk of ischaemic stroke exceeded that of intracranial haemorrhage even in patients with 10 or more microbleeds (annual rates: intracranial haemorrhage: 2.7%; ischaemic stroke: 6.4%), or microbleeds in



**Figure 1** Microbleeds in sporadic small vessel disease. Top, left, Susceptibility-weighted MRI sequences showing deep CMBs suggesting deep perforator arteriopathy, and right: strictly lobar CMBs with disseminated siderosis and evidence of previous lobar ICH, suggesting cerebral amyloid angiopathy respectively. Bottom: T2 sequences show compatible patterns of white matter hyperintensity and enlarged perivascular spaces. CMBs: cerebral microbleeds; ICH, intracerebral haemorrhage.

a strictly lobar distribution suggesting CAA (annual rates: intracranial haemorrhage: 1.3%; ischaemic stroke: 4.8%).<sup>35</sup>

Microbleeds have also been investigated in several RCTs of antithrombotic agents. Secondary analyses of the PERFORM and NAVIGATE-ESUS trials did not show any interaction of microbleeds at baseline with allocated treatment effects.<sup>37 38</sup> This suggests that microbleeds do not modify the net benefit or harm of antithrombotic therapy. However, in the PICASSO (Prevention of Cardiovascular Events in Asian Patients with Ischaemic Stroke at High Risk of Cerebral Haemorrhage) trial, which enrolled participants with previous ischaemic stroke and high intracranial bleeding risk (evidenced by a history of symptomatic intracranial haemorrhage, radiological evidence of previous ICH, or the presence of two or more cerebral microbleeds), a substudy found that in those with microbleeds only at baseline, cilostazol was associated with a lower risk of intracranial bleeding than aspirin (HR 0.08, 95% CI 0.01 to 0.61).<sup>39</sup>

#### **Research priorities**

By using microbleeds to identify patients at highest risk, it may be possible to test new interventions that may have a lower intracranial bleeding risk. For example, in those with AF, the assessment of cerebral microbleeds could help prevent intracranial haemorrhage by identifying patients in whom LAAO should be considered.<sup>40</sup> In those with non-cardioembolic ischaemic stroke or TIA, microbleeds could identify patients for trials of antithrombotic agents with lower expected bleeding risk, for example, cilostazol.

Cortical superficial siderosis (cSS) is associated with CAA, and a very high risk of future ICH in patients meeting modified Boston criteria, which might exceed that of ischaemic stroke (26.9% /year in patients with extensive bilateral cSS included in a single centre prospective cohort study).<sup>41</sup> Whether antithrombotic treatment should be modified or withheld in patients with cSS not meeting modified Boston criteria or without previous ICH should be investigated, though very large studies would be needed due to its rarity in such patients.<sup>42</sup>

#### **Recommendations**

Based on the available data, the presence or burden of microbleeds should not preclude the use of antithrombotic therapy (antiplatelet drugs or OAC) for secondary prevention of ischaemic stroke or TIA. Accurate predictions regarding future intracranial haemorrhage risk (eg, using the MICON-ICH score) can nevertheless inform discussions about prognosis and highlight patients in whom measures to mitigate bleeding risk (such as intensive blood pressure control, avoiding alcohol and careful review of concomitant medication) should be prioritised and monitored particularly closely.

#### ANTITHROMBOTIC STRATEGIES IN PATIENTS WITH INDICATIONS FOR BOTH ANTICOAGULANT AND ANTITHROMBOTIC TREATMENT

Many patients with AF have vascular disease, whether coronary, carotid or peripheral artery disease. In such patients, there is both a need to prevent stroke due to AF, which requires OAC, and to prevent stroke due to vascular disease, which in the non-AF setting usually requires antiplatelet therapy. In AF patients with associated coronary artery disease, antithrombotic management varies between acute and stable clinical scenarios. In those presenting with an acute coronary syndrome, a balance must be found between AF-related stroke prevention which requires OAC; reducing cardiac ischaemia in an acute coronary syndrome

presentation which requires antiplatelet therapy; minimising the risk of stent thrombosis after a percutaneous coronary intervention; and the risk of bleeding posed by combining OAC and antiplatelet therapy.<sup>43</sup>

#### **Current evidence**

Avoiding long-term combination therapy in AF patients with coronary artery disease without a recent acute coronary syndrome is supported by a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational data,<sup>44</sup> which showed similar rates of thrombotic and ischaemic events in patients treated with single antiplatelet therapy and OAC compared with oral anticoagulation alone (HR 1.09, 95% CI 0.92 to 1.29), but higher rates of major bleeding with the former (HR 1.61, 95% CI 1.38 to 1.87). The AFIRE (Atrial Fibrillation and Ischemic Events with Rivaroxaban in Patients with Stable Coronary Artery Disease) trial compared single antiplatelet therapy and rivaroxaban to rivaroxaban alone in patients with AF and stable coronary artery disease. Although it was stopped early for increased mortality in the combined treatment group, the trial demonstrated non-inferiority of rivaroxaban alone for a composite primary outcome of stroke, systemic embolism, myocardial infarction, coronary revascularisation and death (HR 0.72, 95% CI 0.55 to 0.95), and superiority for major bleeding (HR 0.59, 95% CI, 0.39 to 0.89).45 This observation was evident irrespective of CHA, DS, VASc or HAS-BLED scores.<sup>46</sup>

In a systematic review and meta-analysis, the pooled prevalence of carotid stenosis in AF patients was 12.4% (95% CI 8.7% to 16.0%), with reported prevalence ranging from 4.4% to 24.3%.<sup>47</sup> The pooled prevalence of carotid plaque was 48.4% and the prevalence of AF in patients with carotid stenosis was 9.3% (range 3.6-10.0). Thus, about 1 in 10 patients with AF have carotid stenosis, and vice versa. Also, non-stenotic carotid disease is present in about half of AF patients. Despite this, few data exist to guide the management of patients with AF and carotid or peripheral arterial disease, but the increased bleeding risk with combination therapy observed in patients with coronary artery disease might reasonably be expected in these patients.48 In the absence of evidence that concomitant antiplatelet therapy reduces the risk of stroke or major cardiovascular events, European guidelines recommend against its use in patients with peripheral arterial disease without vascular events in the last twelve months.49

In high-risk stable atherosclerotic vascular disease patients without AF, the COMPASS trial randomised 27 395 participants to receive rivaroxaban 2.5 mg two times per day plus aspirin 100 mg one time per day, rivaroxaban 5 mg two times per day or aspirin 100 mg one time per day.<sup>50</sup> The incidence of the primary outcome, a composite of cardiovascular death, stroke or myocardial infarction, was lower in the rivaroxaban-plus-aspirin group compared with the aspirin-alone group (4.1% vs 5.4%; HR 0.76, 95% CI 0.66 to 0.86). Although more major bleeding events occurred in the combination group (3.1% vs 1.9%; HR 1.70, 95% CI 1.40 to 2.05), mortality was also lower (HR 0.82, 95% CI 0.71 to 0.96), without an increase in intracranial or fatal bleeds. Of the secondary outcomes, ischaemic stroke was significantly lower with combination therapy compared with aspirin (HR 0.51, 95% CI 0.38 to 0.68), and with rivaroxaban alone vs aspirin (HR 0.69, 95% CI 0.53 to 0.90). In the subgroup of patients with stable peripheral or carotid artery disease, combination therapy also significantly reduced major adverse cardiovascular and peripheral arterial events as well as stroke, when compared with aspirin monotherapy, but again with more major



## **Research priorities**

major bleeding.

coronary intervention.

ACS in patient , with AF

Whether a similar combination therapy strategy as in the COMPASS trial would be beneficial in patients with AF and vascular disease is uncertain. More clinical trials are needed to establish the best antithrombotic strategy for ischaemic stroke prevention in AF patients with evidence of large arterial disease, such as complex aortic plaque or symptomatic (and severe) carotid disease. In addition, emerging technologies have been proposed, such as use of the percutaneous permanent carotid filter which is investigated in the CAPTURE (Carotid Artery Implant for Trapping Upstream Emboli for Preventing Stroke in Atrial Fibrillatio Patients) trial.52

One month

fibrillation; NOAC, non-vitamin K oral anticoagulants; PCI, percutaneous

One year

#### Recommendations

The current recommended management approach after an acute coronary syndrome in a patient with AF is to have an initial 1-month period of triple therapy with OAC, aspirin and a P2Y12 inhibitor (usually clopidogrel), after which the patient is managed with OAC plus a single antiplatelet (usually clopidogrel) (figure 2). In patients with a relatively low risk of thromboembolism and a particularly high risk of bleeding (eg, HASBLED score of 3 or higher; previous ICH with high recurrence risk, for example, lobar location or probable CAA), the initial triple therapy period may be omitted.<sup>43</sup> Once 'stable' (arbitrarily defined as 12 months onwards) the patient is managed with OAC monotherapy, either an NOAC or well-managed VKA with a time in the rapeutic range  $\geq$ 70%, with a preference for an NOAC given their better safety profile in clinical trials.<sup>53</sup>

When combination therapy is used, efforts to mitigate bleeding risk are particularly important, and should aim to address modifiable bleeding risk factors (including hypertension, alcohol excess and pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic drug interactions), and identify the patients with the highest bleeding risk for early review and follow-up. Such a strategy has been tested in a prospective cluster RCT of AF patients (with or without comorbid vascular disease), resulting in a reduction in major bleeding at 1 year and an increase in OAC use.<sup>54</sup> We should also not forget that stroke prevention is only one aspect of the integrated approach to AF care, as reflected in the Atrial fibrillation Better Care pathway recommended in the 2020 European Society of Cardiology guidelines.<sup>49</sup> 'A' refers to Avoid stroke/ Anticoagulation; 'B' Better symptom management with patientcentred decisions on rate or rhythm control' and 'C' Cardiovascular risk factor and comorbidity optimisation.<sup>55</sup> This pathway

#### **Current evidence**

The initial results of the TIMING study were presented at the European Stroke Organisation Conference in September 2021.<sup>76</sup> To date, these are the only RCT data on when to initiate anticoagulation with an NOAC after AF-associated ischaemic stroke. In 888 participants randomised to anticoagulation initiation at 0-5 or 5-10 days from stroke and followed up for 90 days, early anticoagulation was statistically non-inferior (based on a prespecified margin of 3%) to delayed anticoagulation. The absolute risk of the composite primary outcome of ischaemic stroke, symptomatic ICH and mortality was lower in the early anticoagulation group, but superiority was not demonstrated. Most included patients had mild ischaemic stroke (defined clinically), and no ICH occurred in either study arm. These results provide some reassurance regarding the safety of early anticoagulation, but further RCTs are needed to establish the optimal timing of anticoagulation, both in patients with mild stroke, and those with moderate or severe stroke. Three RCTs are currently recruiting (OPTIMAS, ELAN and START) (table 3).

Several observational studies have also reported outcomes according to anticoagulation timing (online supplemental table 1). Collectively, these studies suggest that early anticoagulation with an NOAC is not associated with a high risk of intracranial haemorrhage, and that this risk is low compared with that of recurrent ischaemic stroke.77-83 Unexpectedly, several studies of these studies found numerically higher intracranial haemorrhage rates with more delayed anticoagulation, or similar or higher rates of recurrent ischaemia in early treatment groups.<sup>80–83</sup> Given substantial imbalances in stroke severity, size and the presence of haemorrhagic transformation between groups, these results are likely to be confounded by treatment bias. For instance, while unadjusted event rates from the RAF-NOACs study suggested the optimal timing of anticoagulation might lie between 4 and

Table 2         Current guidelines on anticoagulation initiation timing after ischaemic stroke							
Guideline	Date	Summary of recommendations	Reference				
Royal College of Physicians, UK	2016	Defer anticoagulation for at least 14 days in disabling stroke; defer by no more than 14 days in non-disabling stroke.	70				
Canadian Stroke Best Practice Recommendations	2017	Optimal timing to start anticoagulant therapy after stroke not defined by clinical trials; consider age, infarct size, imaging appearances, comorbidities and recurrence risk; suggests use of ESC 2016 '1-3-6-12' guideline.	71				
European Heart Rhythm Association	2018	Substantial study data regarding timing of initiation are missing. Present recommendations based on consensus opinion. Suggests use of ESC 2016 '1-3-6-12' guideline.	72				
CHEST	2018	Oral anticoagulation should usually be started within 2 weeks. The optimal timing within this period is not known. Infarct size is predictive of early recurrence, haemorrhagic transformation, and poor outcome, so might not be helpful in determining the net benefit of early treatment.	48				
American Heart Association/American Stroke Association	2019	Reasonable to initiate anticoagulation between 4 and 14 days after onset in most patients with an AIS in the setting of AF.	73				
European Stroke Organisation	2019	No recommendation based on randomised trials possible. Inclusion of patients in ongoing randomised trials of early anticoagulation encouraged.	11				
European Society of Cardiology/ EACTS	2020	Robust data to inform optimal timing are lacking. Initiate as soon as considered possible from neurological perspective. Whereas infarct size/stroke severity is used clinically to guide timing of OAC initiation, the usefulness of such an approach in estimating the net benefit of early treatment may be limited.	49				
AF, atrial fibrillation; AIS, Acute ischaemic stroke; ESC, European Society of Cardiology; OAC, oral anticoagulants.							

14 days after onset, a finding that has influenced current guidelines,<sup>73</sup> a multivariable analysis correcting for confounding factors found no significant association between initiation period and the study composite outcome.<sup>78</sup>

#### **Research priorities**

Once robust data from clinical trials are available, subgroup analyses might allow the development of a personalised approach to anticoagulation initiation, based on an individualised assessment of the risks of haemorrhagic transformation and recurrent ischaemic stroke. Currently, stroke severity and the presence of haemorrhagic transformation on initial imaging are major determinants of anticoagulation timing, influenced by the European Society of Cardiology's 2016 '1-3-6-12' rule.<sup>84 85</sup> However, clinically assessed stroke severity is an imperfect proxy for the volume of infarcted tissue, which is likely to be a more direct determinant of the risk of haemorrhagic transformation.<sup>86</sup> A considerable mismatch is not uncommon, for example in a thalamocapsular infarct causing a severe sensorimotor syndrome, or in a large posterior cerebral artery infarct causing hemianopia alone. Increasing infarct size is also associated with an increased risk of recurrent ischaemic stroke in patients with AF,<sup>86</sup> suggesting it may not reliably discriminate between patients who should be anticoagulated soon after stroke, and those in whom anticoagulation should be delayed. Accordingly, this rule has been removed from the updated 2020 guideline.<sup>49</sup>

Haemorrhagic transformation on initial imaging also generally delays anticoagulation.<sup>87</sup> However, minor haemorrhagic transformation (haemorrhagic infarction by ECASS classification) is common after cardioembolic stroke,<sup>88</sup> is not clearly associated with worse clinical outcome,<sup>89</sup> and has not been shown to affect the risk–benefit balance of early anticoagulation. Haemorrhagic infarction might indicate diffuse low-pressure bleeding from necrotic brain tissue, rather than the focal, higher-pressure bleeding, possibly triggered by reperfusion<sup>90</sup> that forms a parenchymal haematoma associated with poor clinical outcomes

Table 3         Completed and ongoing trials of anticoagulation timing after AF-associated ischaemic stroke							
Study Setting and status		Infarct size or severity criteria	Intervention	Control	Primary outcome		
TIMING <i>NCT02961348</i>	Sweden (completed, 888 participants)	Any severity/size No specific exclusion for HT	Any NOAC 0–4 days	Any NOAC 5–10 days	Recurrent ischaemic stroke, sICH and all-cause mortality at 90 days		
OPTIMAS <i>NCT03759938</i>	United Kingdom (ongoing, recruitment target=3478)	Any severity/size PH2 HT excluded	Any NOAC 0–4 days	Any NOAC 7–14 days	All-cause stroke and systemic embolism at 90 days		
ELAN NCT03148457	Europe, Israel, Japan (ongoing, recruitment target=2000)	Infarct size determines intervention timing* PH1 and PH2 HT excluded	Any NOAC Minor-moderate stroke: <48 hours Major stroke: 6–7 days	Any NOAC Minor-moderate stroke: 6–7 days Major stroke: 12–14 days	Recurrent ischaemic stroke, major intracranial and extracranial bleeding, systemic embolism and vascular death at 30 days		
START NCT03021928	United States (ongoing, recruitment target n=1000)	If infarct size assessable on imaging: infarcts <1.5 cm diameter or >1/2 size of MCA territory excluded If not: NIHSS <5 or >23 excluded	Any NOAC Adaptive design with four stud hours, 216–240 hours, 312–33	Recurrent ischaemic stroke, sICH, systemic embolism and all-cause mortality at 30 days			

\*Infarct size classified as minor (<1.5 cm diameter), moderate (cortical superficial branch of ACA, MCA or PCA, deep MCA branch, internal borderzone territory or multiple minor lesions) or major (complete ACA, MCA, or PCA, or multiple branches of ACA, MCA or PCA, or multiple arterial territories, or posterior circulation lesion  $\geq$ 1.5 cm diameter). ACA, anterior cerebral artery; AF, atrial fibrillation; HT, Haemorrhagic transformation; ICH, intracerebral haemorrhage; MCA, middle cerebral artery; NIHSS, National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale; NOACs, non-vitamin K oral anticoagulants; PCA, posterior cerebral artery.



**Figure 3** Reported predictors of ICH and recurrent stroke in patients with recent AF-related ischaemic stroke. AF, atrial fibrillation; HT, haemorrhagic transformation; ICH, intracerebral haemorrhage; NIHSS, National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale

(ECASS parenchymal haematoma type 2). Early anticoagulation initiation might therefore be insufficient to convert haemorrhagic infarction to a parenchymal haematoma. In 96 patients with baseline haemorrhagic transformation included in nine observational studies of early anticoagulation,<sup>91–99</sup> none experienced symptomatic intracranial haemorrhage. However, it has also not been proven that delaying anticoagulation increases the risk of recurrent stroke: in a pooled analysis of the RAF and RAF-NOAC studies, delaying initiation by a mean of 12 days in patients with haemorrhagic transformation did not lead to additional recurrent ischaemic strokes compared with patients without haemorrhagic transformation.<sup>87</sup> Secondary analyses including functional outcome measures, considering the higher morbidity and mortality of ICH than ischaemic stroke, would also be informative.

Observational studies in patients with AF and recent stroke have identified numerous other risk factors for early recurrent ischaemic and haemorrhagic events (figure 3).<sup>87 95 100-105</sup> There is a need to synthesise these into risk models suitable for clinical application, as existing prediction models intended for use in AF, such as CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc and HAS-BLED, are validated for long-term use. Even more risk factors have been identified in patients with recent ischaemic stroke of any aetiology, including diabetes and hyperglycaemia, renal function, the hyperintense middle cerebral artery sign, leukocytosis, hypertension, obesity, smoking and alcohol habits, and elevated C reactive protein.<sup>106–109</sup> These have been included in scoring systems which predict early recurrence<sup>110</sup> <sup>111</sup> or haemorrhagic transformation (mainly postthrombolysis)<sup>112–114</sup> in all-cause ischaemic stroke with reasonable accuracy. In the absence of AF-specific models for early recurrence or bleeding, these scores might be investigated for use in risk stratification, although some components of these scores might be significant mainly as surrogate markers for AF.

#### Recommendations

In light of the limitations of current evidence, offering enrolment in an RCT, if available, is likely to represent the best clinical care for most patients. In centres not participating in a relevant RCT, early anticoagulation within 5 days of onset might be appropriate in most patients with minor stroke, with later initiation in patients with moderate or severe stroke.<sup>64</sup> In the absence of severe symptomatic intracranial haemorrhage, we recommend anticoagulation initiation within 14 days in all patients. We prefer NOAC use in the absence of a definite indication for VKA treatment.

## ANTITHROMBOTIC 'FAILURE'

As antithrombotic medication is the mainstay of stroke prevention in cardiovascular medicine, many patients with ischaemic stroke are on antithrombotic therapy prior to stroke onset. Management of these break-through strokes ('treatment failure' describes this scenario insufficiently) is a frequent and major challenge in cerebrovascular medicine.

#### Current evidence

Antiplatelet therapy using aspirin provides a modest risk-reduction for recurrent stroke not related to AF.<sup>115</sup> However, ischaemic stroke despite antiplatelet therapy is frequent, with recent data suggesting 20%–35% of patients with stroke were on antiplatelet therapy at stroke onset.<sup>116</sup> <sup>117</sup> Prior antiplatelet therapy has been found to be associated with reduced stroke severity.<sup>116</sup> <sup>117</sup> Reasons for stroke despite antiplatelet therapy may include insufficient reduction of platelet aggregation by aspirin and other antiplatelet therapy is less effective (eg, AF, ipsilateral high-grade carotid stenosis).

Although in vivo platelet function testing<sup>118</sup> and testing for genetic polymorphisms predisposing for reduced efficacy of different antiplatelet agents are available, their role to guide treatment decisions is currently debated not only in neurology but also in cardiology.<sup>119 120</sup> A recent meta-analysis<sup>121</sup> identified addition of or switch to a different antiplatelet agent to be associated with fewer vascular events but no RCT has yet investigated optimal treatment strategies.

Dual-antiplatelet therapy combining aspirin and clopidogrel has been shown to be an effective therapy in patients with minor stroke or high risk TIA used for a limited period after the event.<sup>122</sup> Recurrent stroke despite dual antiplatelet therapy was found to be associated with CYP2C19 loss-of-function allele status in a subanalysis of patients enrolled in the CHANCE trial (from China)<sup>123</sup> while there was no association between lossof-function allele status and recurrent stroke among patients enrolled in the POINT trial (mostly from Europe and the USA). However, genetic testing to guide clinical decisions is not currently standard of care and there are limited data to recommend its use.

Anticoagulation using NOACs or VKAs is highly effective in preventing ischaemic stroke and systemic embolism in patients with AF.<sup>62 63</sup> However, patients with AF may have stroke despite taking NOAC or VKA, with a rate of 1.0%–1.5% per year in RCTs.<sup>124–127</sup> Recent data from the USA (2012–2015)<sup>128</sup> and Switzerland (2015–2019)<sup>129</sup> found that among patients with AF (known before or newly diagnosed after stroke onset) who had a stroke, 30% (USA) and 38% (Switzerland) were taking any OAC at the time of stroke onset. 8.8% of participants in the USA and 20% in Switzerland were taking an NOAC at onset. Thus, with more than one out of three patients with AF taking anticoagulants at the time of stroke onset, this represents a major challenge not only for acute recanalisation therapies<sup>130</sup> <sup>131</sup> but also for secondary prevention.

Reasons for having a stroke despite anticoagulant therapy are heterogeneous and poorly understood. Three different clusters should be distinguished (figure 4): (1) competing stroke aetiology (eg, stroke due to large artery arteriosclerosis, small vessel disease, cervical artery dissection, endocarditis, active malignancy or other determined origins); (2) medication error (eg, lack of compliance, use of non-label NOAC dosage, pharmacokinetic drug interactions reducing anticoagulant efficacy) and (3) cardioembolism despite anticoagulation (no evidence



Figure 4 Suggested approach to patients with ischaemic stroke despite oral anticoagulation. AF, atrial fibrillation; DOAC, direct OAC; LAAO, left atrial appendage occlusion; NOAC, non-vitamin K OAC; OAC, oral anticoagulant.

for competing stroke aetiology or medication error). Using the ASCOD<sup>132</sup> classification (a multicause aetiological classification system of stroke causes), in 95% of patients at least one potential additional non-cardiac cause of stroke was identified.133 However, in the same study, medication error (eg, VKA therapy with INR <2.0 at stroke onset, non-label NOAC dosage or low NOAC plasma levels) was observed in 55% of patients. A multicentre study of patients with stroke despite NOAC therapy found comparable results with non-cardioembolic stroke aetiology and NOAC dosing error being frequent.<sup>134</sup> A thorough aetiological work-up including investigation of additional stroke aetiologies (eg, vessel imaging, MRI) and anticoagulation dosing and adherence should be a standard of care for patients with AF who have a stroke despite anticoagulant therapy. Review of concomitant medication is particularly important in patients prescribed NOACs, as monitoring of anticoagulant effect is not routine. Drugs expected to reduce NOAC plasma levels include rifampicin, carbamazepine, phenytoin, phenobarbitone, primidone and St Johns' wort (P-glycoprotein or CYP3A4 inducers). Whether NOAC monitoring leads to clinical benefit in patients who cannot stop interacting medication is uncertain.<sup>135</sup>

An international, multicentre study found that patients with AF who had a stroke despite anticoagulant therapy (88.8% VKA) are at increased risk of having another stroke (HR 1.6, 95% CI 1.2 to 2.3) with an annualised rate of 8.9% per year.<sup>136</sup> In this study, anticoagulation was changed (eg, switching between VKA and NOAC therapy, or changing the type of NOAC) in 31% of patients, but most patients continued the same anticoagulant therapy as before the stroke. Neither treatment—change of anticoagulation or continuing the same anticoagulant as before stroke—was associated with decreased hazard of recurrent stroke.

#### **Research priorities**

The optimal secondary prevention therapy after stroke despite anticoagulant therapy in patients with AF remains unclear. Future studies should focus on potential mechanisms of stroke (eg, additional aetiology and medication error) and new treatment options for those with cardioembolism despite anticoagulation. Such treatments might include LAAO, as the recent LAAOS-III (Left Atrial Appendage Occlusion Study III) trial found that LAAO with anticoagulation reduced ischaemic stroke risk compared with anticoagulation alone (HR 0.67; 95% CI 0.53 to 0.85), although in patients undergoing cardiac surgery for a separate indication.  $^{\rm 137}$ 

#### Recommendations

In the absence of evidence from RCTs, figure 4 includes suggestions for work-up and secondary prevention therapy with respect to the most probable underlying cause of ischaemic stroke despite OAC. In patients with recurrence despite antiplatelet therapy, the possibility of a previously unrecognised and treatable cause should be considered. Short-term dual antiplatelet therapy is generally indicated. There is insufficient evidence to recommend platelet function or genetic testing as standard of care, but this approach might help to provide personalised treatment options in selected patients.

# SILENT STROKE AND ASYMPTOMATIC SMALL VESSEL DISEASE

Whether aspirin or other antithrombotics should be used to prevent first-ever stroke or myocardial infarction in patients with incidentally discovered silent stroke, high white matter hyperintensity (WMH) burden or microbleeds is controversial, without evidence from high-quality RCTs. This clinical scenario arises frequently, as the prevalence of silent cerebrovascular disease is high in older patients undergoing neuroimaging for indications unrelated to stroke. Population-based studies show that more than 20% of persons older than 80 have either one or more brain infarcts, high WMH burden or one or more cerebral microbleeds.<sup>138</sup> <sup>139</sup>

#### **Current evidence**

Observational evidence shows that the presence of brain infarcts, high WMH and microbleeds are associated with higher risk for future stroke, even after accounting for the higher risk factor burden in patients with these lesions. In a systematic review and meta-analysis,<sup>140</sup> stroke risk was substantially elevated in persons with silent brain infarcts (HR 2.38, 95% CI 1.87 to 3.04), high WMH (HR 2.45, 95% CI 1.93 to 2.12) and microbleeds (HR 1.89, 95% CI 1.55 to 2.53), independent of hypertension and other vascular risk factors.

Thus, these signs of clinically unrecognised cerebrovascular disease mark patients at higher risk of stroke who might benefit from the application of vascular risk reduction strategies. Some guidelines recommend a risk-based approach for primary prevention; for example, by recommending statins based on the estimated 10-year probability of having a stroke or MI.<sup>141</sup> From that perspective, the presence of silent cerebrovascular disease might favour applying additional management strategies for patients at high risk. However, the commonly used validated prediction models for stroke and MI risk are based on demographics, risk factors and simple physiological and laboratory measurements, without incorporating MRI information. MRI information probably improves the classification of risk,<sup>142</sup> but prediction models using MRI have not yet been derived and externally validated for widespread use in patients without previous clinically diagnosed ischaemic stroke or TIA.

The potential downsides of aspirin therapy must also be considered. The pathophysiology of silent cerebrovascular disease is less certain than for symptomatic stroke. In the elderly, most silent brain infarcts are lacunes. Silent lacunes may have a different pathophysiology than symptomatic lacunar infarctions caused by occlusion of lenticulostriate and basilar arteries, and may not be related to thrombosis.<sup>143</sup> One study failed to find evidence that genetic predisposition to thrombosis was associated with lacunes even though it was associated with cardioembolic and large artery stroke.<sup>144</sup> The pathophysiology of WMH is even less certain, with increasing evidence that elevated bloodbrain permeability is a key step.<sup>145</sup> Two trials, of warfarin<sup>146</sup> and aspirin,<sup>147</sup> found that antithrombotic treatment increased the risk of ICH in patients with high WMH burden. Some patients with high WMH have underlying CAA, a vasculopathy that predisposes to ICH.<sup>148</sup> Microbleeds are associated with higher risk of first ever ICH in the general population, but are also associated with higher risk of future ischaemic stroke such that in persons with microbleeds the overall absolute number of new ischaemic strokes exceeds the number of new ICHs.<sup>149</sup>

The applicability of aspirin for patients with silent cerebrovascular disease must also be considered in light of recent trials (without neuroimaging) that either failed to find a beneficial effect of aspirin or found a small effect similar in size to the increased harm from major bleeding.<sup>150</sup> Contemporary guidelines either do not recommend aspirin use for primary prevention<sup>151</sup> or only recommend that it be considered in higher risk patients (based on cardiovascular risk factor profile or predicted risk from validated models) but not in the elderly older than 70.<sup>152</sup>

#### **Research priorities**

To address current gaps in knowledge, studies are needed to develop and validate models to stratify the risks of stroke and cardiovascular disease in persons with and without silent cerebrovascular disease, and to test antithrombotic strategies. The relevance of different forms of cerebrovascular disease (eg, lacunes vs WMHs) requires further investigation.

#### Recommendations

Given current uncertainties, care should be individualised. A Scientific Statement from the American Heart Association recommends that patients with silent brain infarcts or high WMH should be considered at high risk for stroke, but without specific recommendations on aspirin.<sup>139</sup> A Canadian dementia guideline recommends against prescribing aspirin to patients with high WMH without brain infarction, but weakly recommends that aspirin could be considered in patients with cognitive impairment and silent brain infarcts with low quality of supporting evidence.<sup>153</sup> The European Stroke Organisation recommends against use of aspirin in patients with silent cerebral small vessel disease, based on inconclusive evidence from one small trial.<sup>154</sup> We recommend that aspirin should generally not be used in patients with silent cerebrovascular disease, unless there is another indication such as a clinical history of TIA, ischaemic stroke or myocardial infarction. However, in patients with non-lacunar brain infarction, the use of aspirin can be considered, particularly if there is an embolic pattern of infarction or there is evidence that atherosclerosis or atheroembolism is the likely cause.

#### CONCLUSION

Table 4 summarises recommendations based on the available evidence for each antithrombotic dilemma. Although recent evidence from large-scale observational studies and a limited number of RCTs has provided reassurance about the use of antithrombotic therapy in high-risk populations-specifically antiplatelets in those with previous ICH, and antiplatelets and anticoagulants in those with high microbleed burdens (but not necessarily CAA)-many uncertainties remain. Ongoing RCTs should soon establish the optimal time to start anticoagulants after ischaemic stroke in patients with AF, and whether anticoagulants can be used safely in those with previous ICH (and the role of LAAO after ICH). Other clinical dilemmas are further from resolution, notably the role of combination antithrombotic therapy in patients with AF and peripheral or coronary artery disease, the best approach to stroke prevention when antithrombotic treatment appears to have failed, and the use of antithrombotics in patients with cerebrovascular disease without clinical stroke or TIA. New data have not only resolved some old challenges, but highlighted new ones: more well-designed RCTs are, as always, needed.

**Contributors** DJW had the idea for the article, and developed the outline with JB. All authors drafted sections of the manuscript, critically reviewed and revised the manuscript for intellectual content and approved the final submission.

**Funding** The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

**Competing interests** DJW reports personal fees from Bayer, Alnylam and Portola outside the submitted work. EES reports personal fees from Alnylam Pharmaceuticals, Bayer and Portola, outside the submitted work. GL reports consultancy and speaker fees from Bayer, Bayer/Janssen, BMS/Pfizer, Medtronic, Boehringer Ingelheim, Microlife, Roche and Daiichi-Sankyo outside the submitted work. DJS reports funding from Bayer and Pfizer, outside the submitted work.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review Commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

**Supplemental material** This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

#### ORCID iDs

Catharina J M Klijn http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8495-4578 David J Seiffge http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3890-3849 David J Werring http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2074-1861

#### REFERENCES

- 1 Schols AMR, Schreuder FHBM, van Raak EPM, et al. Incidence of oral anticoagulantassociated intracerebral hemorrhage in the Netherlands. Stroke 2014;45:268–70.
- 2 Samarasekera N, Fonville A, Lerpiniere C, et al. Influence of intracerebral hemorrhage location on incidence, characteristics, and outcome: population-based study. Stroke 2015;46:361–8.

Table 4 Summary of	of recommendations
--------------------	--------------------

Dilemma	Recommendations
Antithrombotic use after intracerebral haemorrhage	<ul> <li>Use antiplatelets if there is a strong indication (eg, related to ischaemic hear disease, ischaemic stroke or TIA).</li> <li>Whether to start or avoid OAC after ICH in patients with AF remains uncertain.</li> <li>If restarting OAC, reinitiating with an NOAC at 7–8 weeks appears sensible.</li> <li>The role of LAAO remains uncertain in ICH survivors with AF.</li> <li>Recruitment to ongoing RCTs of OAC and LAAO is recommended.</li> </ul>
Antithrombotic use in patients with CMBs	<ul> <li>Use antiplatelets or OAC if indicated.</li> <li>Use the MICON-ICH score to determine the risk of future intracranial bleeding.</li> <li>Avoid OAC in patients with CMBs in the context of ICH and probable CAA.</li> <li>Careful management of modifiable bleeding risk factors.</li> </ul>
Antithrombotic use in patients with indications for antiplatelets and OAC	<ul> <li>Use combination therapy in patients with recent ACS.</li> <li>Avoid combination therapy in patients with coronary or peripheral artery disease without associated vascular events in the last 12 months.</li> <li>Pay attention to modifiable bleeding risk factors if combination therapy used.</li> <li>Consider early cessation of combination therapy in patients at high bleeding risk (eg, HASBLED &gt;3).</li> <li>Novel combination strategies (eg, low-dose NOAC and antiplatelet) should be investigated.</li> </ul>
Timing of anticoagulation after AF-associated ischaemic stroke	<ul> <li>Optimal timing remains to be determined for all degrees of stroke severity: offer enrolment to RCT if available.</li> <li>If no RCT available, early anticoagulation (within 5 days) with an NOAC is probably safe in patients with minor stroke.</li> <li>Delay anticoagulation by 5–14 days in patients with moderate-severe stroke.</li> </ul>
Antithrombotic 'failure'	<ul> <li>Review medication dosing, compliance, and interactions.</li> <li>Investigate for competing aetiology.</li> <li>Consider short-term dual antiplatelet therapy in patients with recurrent non-cardioembolic stroke despite antiplatelets.</li> <li>Switch of anticoagulant type in patients with AF does not appear to reduce recurrence risk.</li> </ul>
'Silent' cerebral small vessel disease	<ul> <li>Routine antiplatelet use not recommended for asymptomatic cerebral small vessel disease.</li> <li>Consider antiplatelets in patients with asymptomatic territorial infarcts of likely atherosclerotic or atheroembolic cause.</li> </ul>

ACS, acute coronary syndrome; AF, atrial fibrillation; CAA, cerebral amyloid angiopathy; CMB, cerebral microbleed; HASBLED, Hypertension, Abnormal renal/liver function, Stroke history, Bleeding, Labile international normalised ratio, Elderly, Drugs score; ICH, intracerebral haemorrhage; LAAO, left atrial appendage occlusion; MICON, Microbleeds International Collaborative Network; NOAC, non-vitamin K OAC; OAC, oral anticoagulant; RCT, randomised controlled trial; TIA, transient ischaemic attack.

- 3 Flaherty ML, Kissela B, Woo D, et al. The increasing incidence of anticoagulantassociated intracerebral hemorrhage. *Neurology* 2007;68:116–21.
- 4 Béjot Y, Cordonnier C, Durier J, et al. Intracerebral haemorrhage profiles are changing: results from the Dijon population-based study. Brain 2013;136:658–64
- 5 Thompson BB, Béjot Y, Caso *V, et al.* Prior antiplatelet therapy and outcome following intracerebral hemorrhage: a systematic review. *Neurology* 2010;75:1333–42.
- 6 van Asch CJ, Luitse MJ, Rinkel GJ, et al. Incidence, case fatality, and functional outcome of intracerebral haemorrhage over time, according to age, sex, and ethnic origin: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Neurol* 2010;9:167–76.
- 7 Law ZK, Desborough M, Roberts I, et al. Outcomes in Antiplatelet-Associated intracerebral hemorrhage in the TICH-2 randomized controlled trial. J Am Heart Assoc 2021;10:e019130.
- 8 Seiffge DJ, Goeldlin MB, Tatlisumak T, et al. Meta-Analysis of haematoma volume, haematoma expansion and mortality in intracerebral haemorrhage associated with oral anticoagulant use. J Neurol 2019;266:3126–35.
- 9 Orlowski A, Gale CP, Ashton R, et al. Clinical and budget impacts of changes in oral anticoagulation prescribing for atrial fibrillation. *Heart* 2021;107:47–53.
- 10 Jolink WMT, Klijn CJM, Brouwers PJAM, et al. Time trends in incidence, case fatality, and mortality of intracerebral hemorrhage. *Neurology* 2015;85:1318–24.
- 11 Klijn CJ, Paciaroni M, Berge E, et al. Antithrombotic treatment for secondary prevention of stroke and other thromboembolic events in patients with stroke or transient ischemic attack and non-valvular atrial fibrillation: a European stroke organisation guideline. Eur Stroke J 2019;4:198–223.
- 12 Shoamanesh A, Patrice Lindsay M, Castellucci LA, et al. Canadian stroke best practice recommendations: Management of Spontaneous Intracerebral Hemorrhage, 7th Edition Update 2020. Int J Stroke 2021;16:321–41.
- 13 Pasquini M, Charidimou A, van Asch CJJ, et al. Variation in restarting antithrombotic drugs at hospital discharge after intracerebral hemorrhage. Stroke 2014;45:2643–8.
- 14 Xu Y, Shoamanesh A, Schulman S, et al. Oral anticoagulant re-initiation following intracerebral hemorrhage in non-valvular atrial fibrillation: global survey of the practices of neurologists, neurosurgeons and thrombosis experts. *PLoS One* 2018;13:e0191137.
- 15 van Nieuwenhuizen KM, Vaartjes I, Verhoeven JI, et al. Long-Term prognosis after intracerebral haemorrhage. Eur Stroke J 2020;5:336–44.
- 16 Casolla B, Moulin S, Kyheng M, et al. Five-Year risk of major ischemic and hemorrhagic events after intracerebral hemorrhage. Stroke 2019;50:1100–7.
- 17 Banerjee G, Wilson D, Ambler G, *et al.* Longer term stroke risk in intracerebral haemorrhage survivors. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2020;91:840–5.
- 18 Li L, Poon MTC, Samarasekera NE, et al. Risks of recurrent stroke and all serious vascular events after spontaneous intracerebral haemorrhage: pooled analyses of two population-based studies. Lancet Neurol 2021;20:437–47.

- 19 Perry LA, Berge E, Bowditch J, et al. Antithrombotic treatment after stroke due to intracerebral haemorrhage. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2017;5:CD012144.
- 20 Dennis M, Sandercock P, Graham C, et al. The clots in legs or sTockings after stroke (clots) 3 trial: a randomised controlled trial to determine whether or not intermittent pneumatic compression reduces the risk of post-stroke deep vein thrombosis and to estimate its cost-effectiveness. *Health Technol Assess* 2015;19:1–90.
- 21 Al-Shahi Salman R, Dennis MS, Sandercock PAG, *et al*. Effects of antiplatelet therapy after stroke caused by intracerebral hemorrhage: extended follow-up of the restart randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Neurol* 2021;78:1179–86.
- 22 SoSTART Collaboration. Effects of oral anticoagulation for atrial fibrillation after spontaneous intracranial haemorrhage in the UK: a randomised, open-label, assessor-masked, pilot-phase, non-inferiority trial. *Lancet Neurol* 2021;20:842–53.
- 23 Schreuder FHBM, van Nieuwenhuizen KM, Hofmeijer J, et al. Apixaban versus no anticoagulation after anticoagulation-associated intracerebral haemorrhage in patients with atrial fibrillation in the Netherlands (APACHE-AF): a randomised, openlabel, phase 2 trial. *Lancet Neurol* 2021;20:907–16.
- 24 Korompoki E, Filippidis FT, Nielsen PB, et al. Long-Term antithrombotic treatment in intracranial hemorrhage survivors with atrial fibrillation. *Neurology* 2017;89:687–96.
- 25 Linn J, Halpin A, Demaerel P, et al. Prevalence of superficial siderosis in patients with cerebral amyloid angiopathy. *Neurology* 2010;74:1346–50.
- 26 Biffi A, Kuramatsu JB, Leasure A, et al. Oral anticoagulation and functional outcome after intracerebral hemorrhage. Ann Neurol 2017;82:755–65.
- 27 Pennlert J, Overholser R, Asplund K, Johanna P, Rosanna O, Kjell A, et al. Optimal timing of anticoagulant treatment after intracerebral hemorrhage in patients with atrial fibrillation. Stroke 2017;48:314–20.
- 28 Kuramatsu JB, Sembill JA, Gerner ST, et al. Management of therapeutic anticoagulation in patients with intracerebral haemorrhage and mechanical heart valves. Eur Heart J 2018;39:1709–23.
- 29 Nielsen-Kudsk JE, Johnsen SP, Wester P, et al. Left atrial appendage occlusion versus standard medical care in patients with atrial fibrillation and intracerebral haemorrhage: a propensity score-matched follow-up study. *EuroIntervention* 2017;13:371–8.
- 30 PROSPERO International prospective register of systematic reviews. The effects of long-term oral antithrombotic agents after intracranial haemorrhage: prospective individual participant data meta-analysis (IPDMA) of randomised controlled trials [Internet]. Available: https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php? ID=CRD42021246133%2520&ID=CRD42021246133%2520 [Accessed 12 Feb 2022].
- 31 Haller S, Vernooij MW, Kuijer JPA, et al. Cerebral microbleeds: imaging and clinical significance. Radiology 2018;287:11–28.

- 32 van Veluw SJ, Charidimou A, van der Kouwe AJ, et al. Microbleed and microinfarct detection in amyloid angiopathy: a high-resolution MRI-histopathology study. Brain 2016;139:3151–62.
- 33 Greenberg SM, Al-Shahi Salman R, Biessels GJ, et al. Outcome markers for clinical trials in cerebral amyloid angiopathy. *Lancet Neurol* 2014;13:419–28.
- 34 Wilson D, Ambler G, Shakeshaft C, *et al*. Cerebral microbleeds and intracranial haemorrhage risk in patients anticoagulated for atrial fibrillation after acute ischaemic stroke or transient ischaemic attack (CROMIS-2): a multicentre observational cohort study. *Lancet Neurol* 2018;17:539–47.
- 35 Wilson D, Ambler G, Lee K-J, *et al*. Cerebral microbleeds and stroke risk after ischaemic stroke or transient ischaemic attack: a pooled analysis of individual patient data from cohort studies. *Lancet Neurol* 2019;18:653–65.
- 36 Best JG, Ambler G, Wilson D, et al. Development of imaging-based risk scores for prediction of intracranial haemorrhage and ischaemic stroke in patients taking antithrombotic therapy after ischaemic stroke or transient ischaemic attack: a pooled analysis of individual patient data from cohort studies. *Lancet Neurol* 2021;20:294–303.
- 37 Shoamanesh A, Hart RG, Connolly SJ, *et al*. Microbleeds and the effect of anticoagulation in patients with embolic stroke of undetermined source: an exploratory analysis of the navigate ESUS randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Neurol* 2021;78:11–20.
- 38 Chabriat H, Maeder P, Gass A, et al. Results of the perform magnetic resonance imaging study. J Neurol 2013;260:3071–6.
- 39 Park H-K, Lee JS, Kim BJ, et al. Cilostazol versus aspirin in ischemic stroke with cerebral microbleeds versus prior intracerebral hemorrhage. Int J Stroke 2021;16:1019–30.
- 40 Osmancik P, Herman D, Neuzil P, et al. Left atrial appendage closure versus direct oral anticoagulants in high-risk patients with atrial fibrillation. J Am Coll Cardiol 2020;75:3122–35.
- 41 Charidimou A, Boulouis G, Roongpiboonsopit D, et al. Cortical superficial siderosis multifocality in cerebral amyloid angiopathy: a prospective study. *Neurology* 2017;89:2128–35.
- 42 Suda S, Shimoyama T, Suzuki S, et al. Prevalence and clinical characteristics of cortical superficial siderosis in patients with acute stroke. J Neurol 2017;264:2413–9.
- 43 Lip GYH, Collet J-P, Haude M, et al. 2018 joint European consensus document on the management of antithrombotic therapy in atrial fibrillation patients presenting with acute coronary syndrome and/or undergoing percutaneous cardiovascular interventions: a joint consensus document of the European heart rhythm association (EHRA), European Society of cardiology Working group on thrombosis, European association of percutaneous cardiovascular interventions (EAPCI), and European association of acute cardiac care (ACCA) endorsed by the heart rhythm Society (HRS), Asia-Pacific heart rhythm Society (APHRS), Latin America heart rhythm Society (LAHRS), and cardiac arrhythmia Society of southern Africa (CASSA). *Europace* 2019;21:192–3.
- 44 Lee S-R, Rhee T-M, Kang D-Y, et al. Meta-Analysis of oral anticoagulant monotherapy as an antithrombotic strategy in patients with stable coronary artery disease and nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. Am J Cardiol 2019;124:879–85.
- 45 Yasuda S, Kaikita K, Akao M, et al. Antithrombotic therapy for atrial fibrillation with stable coronary disease. N Engl J Med 2019;381:1103–13.
- 46 Akao M, Yasuda S, Kaikita K, et al. Rivaroxaban monotherapy versus combination therapy according to patient risk of stroke and bleeding in atrial fibrillation and stable coronary disease: AFIRE trial subanalysis. Am Heart J 2021;236:59–68.
- 47 Noubiap JJ, Agbaedeng TA, Tochie JN, et al. Meta-Analysis comparing the frequency of carotid artery stenosis in patients with atrial fibrillation and vice versa. Am J Cardiol 2021;138:72–9.
- 48 Lip GYH, Banerjee A, Boriani G, et al. Antithrombotic therapy for atrial fibrillation: chest guideline and expert panel report. Chest 2018;154:1121–201.
- 49 Hindricks G, Potpara T, Dagres N, et al. 2020 ESC guidelines for the diagnosis and management of atrial fibrillation developed in collaboration with the European association for Cardio-Thoracic surgery (EACTS). Eur Heart J 2021;42:373–498.
- 50 Eikelboom JW, Connolly SJ, Bosch J, et al. Rivaroxaban with or without aspirin in stable cardiovascular disease. N Engl J Med 2017;377:1319–30.
- 51 Anand SS, Bosch J, Eikelboom JW, et al. Rivaroxaban with or without aspirin in patients with stable peripheral or carotid artery disease: an international, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 2018;391:219–29.
- 52 Reddy VY, Neuzil P, de Potter T, et al. Permanent percutaneous carotid artery filter to prevent stroke in atrial fibrillation patients: the capture trial. JAm Coll Cardiol 2019;74:829–39.
- 53 Gargiulo G, Goette A, Tijssen J, *et al*. Safety and efficacy outcomes of double vs. triple antithrombotic therapy in patients with atrial fibrillation following percutaneous coronary intervention: a systematic review and meta-analysis of non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulant-based randomized clinical trials. *Eur Heart J* 2019;40:3757–67.
- 54 Guo Y, Lane DA, Chen Y, *et al*. Regular bleeding risk assessment associated with reduction in bleeding outcomes: the mAFA-II randomized trial. *Am J Med* 2020;133:1195–202.
- 55 Lip GYH. The ABC pathway: an integrated approach to improve AF management. *Nat Rev Cardiol* 2017;14:627–8.

- 56 Proietti M, Lip GYH, Laroche C, et al. Relation of outcomes to ABC (atrial fibrillation better care) pathway adherent care in European patients with atrial fibrillation: an analysis from the ESC-EHRA EORP atrial fibrillation General long-term (AFGen LT) registry. *Europace* 2021;23:174–83.
- 57 Proietti M, Romiti GF, Olshansky B, *et al.* Comprehensive management with the ABC (atrial fibrillation better care) pathway in clinically complex patients with atrial fibrillation: a post hoc ancillary analysis from the Affirm trial. *JAm Heart Assoc* 2020;9:e014932.
- 58 Yoon M, Yang P-S, Jang E, et al. Improved population-based clinical outcomes of patients with atrial fibrillation by compliance with the simple ABC (atrial fibrillation better care) pathway for integrated care management: a nationwide cohort study. *Thromb Haemost* 2019;119:1695–703.
- 59 Guo Y, Lane DA, Wang L, *et al*. Mobile health technology to improve care for patients with atrial fibrillation. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2020;75:1523–34.
- 60 Guo Y, Guo J, Shi X, *et al*. Mobile health technology-supported atrial fibrillation screening and integrated care: a report from the mAFA-II trial long-term extension cohort. *Eur J Intern Med* 2020;82:105–11.
- 61 Sposato LA, Cipriano LE, Saposnik G, et al. Diagnosis of atrial fibrillation after stroke and transient ischaemic attack: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Neurol 2015;14:377–87.
- 62 Hart RG, Pearce LA, Aguilar MI. Meta-Analysis: antithrombotic therapy to prevent stroke in patients who have nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. *Ann Intern Med* 2007;146:857–67.
- 63 Ruff CT, Giugliano RP, Braunwald E, et al. Comparison of the efficacy and safety of new oral anticoagulants with warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation: a metaanalysis of randomised trials. *Lancet* 2014;383:955–62.
- 64 Seiffge DJ, Werring DJ, Paciaroni M, *et al.* Timing of anticoagulation after recent ischaemic stroke in patients with atrial fibrillation. *Lancet Neurol* 2019;18:117–26.
- 65 Berge E, Abdelnoor M, Nakstad PH, *et al*. Low molecular-weight heparin versus aspirin in patients with acute ischaemic stroke and atrial fibrillation: a double-blind randomised study. *The Lancet* 2000;355:1205–10.
- 66 Chen Z-M. CAST: randomised placebo-controlled trial of early aspirin use in 20 000 patients with acute ischaemic stroke. *The Lancet* 1997;349:1641–9.
- 67 Saxena R, Lewis S, Berge E, *et al*. Risk of early death and recurrent stroke and effect of heparin in 3169 patients with acute ischemic stroke and atrial fibrillation in the International stroke trial. *Stroke* 2001;32:2333–7.
- 68 Paciaroni M, Agnelli G, Corea F, et al. Early hemorrhagic transformation of brain infarction: rate, predictive factors, and influence on clinical outcome: results of a prospective multicenter study. Stroke 2008;39:2249–56.
- 69 Paciaroni M, Agnelli G, Micheli S, *et al*. Efficacy and safety of anticoagulant treatment in acute cardioembolic stroke: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Stroke* 2007;38:423–30.
- 70 Intercollegiate Stroke Working Party, Royal College of Physicians. *National clinical guideline for stroke*. 5th Edition, 2016.
- 71 Wein T, Lindsay MP, Côté R, *et al*. Canadian stroke best practice recommendations: secondary prevention of stroke, sixth edition practice guidelines, update 2017. *Int J Stroke* 2018;13:420–43.
- 72 Steffel J, Verhamme P, Potpara TS, *et al*. The 2018 European heart rhythm association practical guide on the use of non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulants in patients with atrial fibrillation. *Eur Heart J* 2018;39:1330–93.
- 73 Powers WJ, Rabinstein AA, Ackerson T, *et al*. Guidelines for the early management of patients with acute ischemic stroke: 2019 update to the 2018 guidelines for the early management of acute ischemic stroke: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American heart Association/American stroke association. *Stroke* 2019;50:e344–418.
- 74 Hornig CR, Dorndorf W, Agnoli AL. Hemorrhagic cerebral infarction--a prospective study. *Stroke* 1986;17:179–85.
- 75 Okada Y, Yamaguchi T, Minematsu K, et al. Hemorrhagic transformation in cerebral embolism. Stroke 1989;20:598–603.
- 76 Late breaking Abstracts. Eur Stroke J 2021;1:514–43.
- 77 Seiffge DJ, Traenka C, Polymeris A, *et al*. Early start of DOAC after ischemic stroke: risk of intracranial hemorrhage and recurrent events. *Neurology* 2016;87:1856–62.
- 78 Paciaroni M, Agnelli G, Falocci N, et al. Early recurrence and major bleeding in patients with acute ischemic stroke and atrial fibrillation treated with Non-Vitamin-K oral anticoagulants (RAF-NOACs) study. J Am Heart Assoc 2017;6:e007034.
- 79 Wilson D, Ambler G, Banerjee G, et al. Early versus late anticoagulation for ischaemic stroke associated with atrial fibrillation: multicentre cohort study. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2019;90:320–5.
- 80 Yasaka M, Minematsu K, Toyoda K, *et al*. Rivaroxaban administration after acute ischemic stroke: the relaxed study. *PLoS One* 2019;14:e0212354.
- 81 Mizoguchi T, Tanaka K, Toyoda K, et al. Early initiation of direct oral anticoagulants after onset of stroke and short- and long-term outcomes of patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. Stroke 2020;51:883–91.
- 82 Yaghi S, Trivedi T, Henninger N, et al. Anticoagulation timing in cardioembolic stroke and recurrent event risk. Ann Neurol 2020;88:807–16.
- Yoshimura S, Uchida K, Sakai N, *et al.* Safety of early administration of apixaban on clinical outcomes in patients with acute large vessel occlusion. *Transl Stroke Res* 2021;12:266–74.

- 84 Munn D. Abdul-Rahim AH. Fischer U. et al. A survey of opinion: when to start oral anticoagulants in patients with acute ischaemic stroke and atrial fibrillation? Eur Stroke J 2018;3:355-60.
- Kirchhof P, Benussi S, Kotecha D, et al. 2016 ESC guidelines for the management 85 of atrial fibrillation developed in collaboration with EACTS. Europace 2016.18.1609-78
- Paciaroni M, Agnelli G, Ageno W, et al. Timing of anticoagulation therapy in 86 patients with acute ischaemic stroke and atrial fibrillation. Thromb Haemost 2016:116:410-6.
- 87 Paciaroni M, Bandini F, Agnelli G, et al. Hemorrhagic transformation in patients with acute ischemic stroke and atrial fibrillation: time to initiation of oral anticoagulant therapy and outcomes. J Am Heart Assoc 2018;7:e010133.
- 88 Arboix A, Oliveres M, Massons J, et al. Early differentiation of cardioembolic from atherothrombotic cerebral infarction: a multivariate analysis. Eur J Neurol 1999:6:677-83
- Berger C, Fiorelli M, Steiner T, et al. Hemorrhagic transformation of ischemic brain 89 tissue: asymptomatic or symptomatic? Stroke 2001;32:1330-5.
- 90 Mac Grory B, Flood S, Schrag M, et al. Anticoagulation resumption after stroke from atrial fibrillation. Curr Atheroscler Rep 2019;21:29.
- 91 Park CW, Nam HS, Heo JH, et al. Non-Vitamin K oral anticoagulants as first-line regimen for acute ischemic stroke with non-valvular atrial fibrillation. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis 2020;29:105025.
- Alrohimi A, Ng K, Dowlatshahi D, et al. Early dabigatran treatment after transient 92 ischemic attack and minor ischemic stroke does not result in hemorrhagic transformation. Can J Neurol Sci 2020;47:604-11.
- 93 Moroni F, Masotti L, Vannucchi V, et al. Confidence in the use of direct oral anticoagulants in the acute phase of nonvalvular atrial Fibrillation-Related ischemic stroke over the years: a real-world single-center study. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis 2018:27:76-82.
- Frisullo G, Profice P, Brunetti V, et al. Prospective observational study of safety of 94 early treatment with edoxaban in patients with ischemic stroke and atrial fibrillation (SATES study). Brain Sci 2020;11:30.
- Cappellari M, Carletti M, Danese A, et al. Early introduction of direct oral 95 anticoagulants in cardioembolic stroke patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation. Thromb Thrombolysis 2016;42:393-8.
- 96 Gioia LC, Kate M, Sivakumar L, et al. Early rivaroxaban use after cardioembolic stroke may not result in hemorrhagic transformation. Stroke 2016;47:1917-9.
- 97 Butcher KS, Ng K, Sheridan P, et al. Dabigatran treatment of acute noncardioembolic ischemic stroke. Stroke 2020;51:1190-8.
- 98 Shibazaki K, Kimura K, Aoki J, et al. Early initiation of new oral anticoagulants in acute stroke and TIA patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. J Neurol Sci 2013;331:90-3.
- 99 Alrohimi A, Buck B, Jickling G, et al. Early apixaban therapy after ischemic stroke in patients with atrial fibrillation. J Neurol 2021;268:1837-46.
- 100 Paciaroni M, Agnelli G, Falocci N, et al. Early recurrence and cerebral bleeding in patients with acute ischemic stroke and atrial fibrillation: effect of anticoagulation and its timing: the Raf study. Stroke 2015;46:2175-82.
- 101 Paciaroni M, Agnelli G, Caso V, et al. Prediction of early recurrent thromboembolic event and major bleeding in patients with acute stroke and atrial fibrillation by a risk stratification schema: the ALESSA score study. Stroke 2017;48:726-32.
- 102 Paciaroni M, Agnelli G, Falocci N, et al. Prognostic value of trans-thoracic echocardiography in patients with acute stroke and atrial fibrillation: findings from the Raf study. J Neurol 2016;263:231-7.
- Yaghi S, Henninger N, Scher E, et al. Early ischaemic and haemorrhagic complications 103 after atrial fibrillation-related ischaemic stroke: analysis of the IAC study. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2020;91:750-5.
- Lee J-H, Park K-Y, Shin J-H, et al. Symptomatic hemorrhagic transformation 104 and its predictors in acute ischemic stroke with atrial fibrillation. Eur Neurol 2010.64.193-200
- 105 Lee SH, Hong K-S, Lee JS, et al. Prediction of hemorrhagic transformation in patients with mild atrial fibrillation-associated stroke treated with early anticoagulation: post hoc analysis of the triple Axel trial. Clin Neurol Neurosurg 2018;174:156-62.
- 106 Muscari A, Faccioli L, Lega MV, et al. Predicting hemorrhagic transformation and its timing from maximum cerebral lesion diameter in nonlacunar ischemic strokes. Brain Behav 2020:10:e01497.
- Zhuo Y, Wu J, Qu Y, et al. Clinical risk factors associated with recurrence of ischemic 107 stroke within two years: a cohort study. Medicine 2020;99:e20830.
- Burn J, Dennis M, Bamford J, et al. Long-Term risk of recurrent stroke after a first-108 ever stroke. The Oxfordshire community stroke project. Stroke 1994;25:333-7.
- 109 Sacco RL, Shi T, Zamanillo MC, et al. Predictors of mortality and recurrence after hospitalized cerebral infarction in an urban community: the Northern Manhattan stroke study. Neurology 1994;44:626-34.
- 110 Ay H, Gungor L, Arsava EM, et al. A score to predict early risk of recurrence after ischemic stroke. Neurology 2010;74:128-35.
- 111 Arsava EM, Kim G-M, Oliveira-Filho J, et al. Prediction of early recurrence after acute ischemic stroke. JAMA Neurol 2016;73:396-401.

- 112 Kalinin MN. Khasanova DR. Ibatullin MM. The hemorrhadic transformation index score: a prediction tool in middle cerebral artery ischemic stroke. BMC Neurol 2017;17:177.
- 113 Mazya M, Egido JA, Ford GA, et al. Predicting the risk of symptomatic intracerebral hemorrhage in ischemic stroke treated with intravenous alteplase: safe implementation of treatments in stroke (SITS) symptomatic intracerebral hemorrhage risk score. Stroke 2012;43:1524-31.
- 114 Strbian D, Engelter S, Michel P, et al. Symptomatic intracranial hemorrhage after stroke thrombolysis: the SEDAN score. Ann Neurol 2012;71:634-41.
- 115 Xiong Y, Bath PM. Antiplatelet therapy for transient ischemic attack and minor stroke. Stroke 2020;51:3472-4.
- Park J-M, Kang K, Cho Y-J, et al. Comparative effectiveness of prestroke aspirin on 116 stroke severity and outcome. Ann Neurol 2016;79:560-8.
- 117 Sanossian N, Saver JL, Rajajee V, et al. Premorbid antiplatelet use and ischemic stroke outcomes. Neurology 2006;66:319-23.
- Bath PM, May J, Flaherty K, et al. Remote assessment of platelet function in patients with acute stroke or transient ischaemic attack. Stroke Res Treat 2017;2017:1-13.
- 119 Sibbing D, Aradi D, Alexopoulos D, et al. Updated Expert Consensus Statement on Platelet Function and Genetic Testing for Guiding P2Y., Receptor Inhibitor Treatment in Percutaneous Coronary Intervention. JACC Cardiovasc Interv 2019:12:1521-37.
- 120 Zeb I, Krim N, Bella J. Role of CYP2C19 genotype testing in clinical use of clopidogrel: is it really useful? Expert Rev Cardiovasc Ther 2018;16:369-77.
- 121 Lee M, Saver JL, Hong K-S, et al. Antiplatelet regimen for patients with breakthrough strokes while on aspirin: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Stroke 2017.48.2610-3
- Johnston SC, Easton JD, Farrant M, et al. Clopidogrel and aspirin in acute ischemic 122 stroke and high-risk TIA. N Engl J Med 2018;379:215-25.
- Wang Y, Zhao X, Lin J, et al. Association between CYP2C19 loss-of-function allele 123 status and efficacy of clopidogrel for risk reduction among patients with minor stroke or transient ischemic attack. JAMA 2016;316:70-8.
- 124 Connolly SJ, Ezekowitz MD, Yusuf S, et al. Dabigatran versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med 2009;361:1139-51.
- 125 Giugliano RP. Ruff CT. Braunwald E. et al. Edoxaban versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med 2013;369:2093-104.
- 126 Granger CB, Alexander JH, McMurray JJV, et al. Apixaban versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med 2011;365:981-92.
- Patel MR, Mahaffey KW, Garg J, et al. Rivaroxaban versus warfarin in nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med 2011;365:883–91.
- 128 Xian Y, O'Brien EC, Liang L, et al. Association of preceding antithrombotic treatment with acute ischemic stroke severity and in-hospital outcomes among patients with atrial fibrillation. JAMA 2017;317:1057-67.
- Meinel TR, Branca M, De Marchis GM, et al. Prior anticoagulation in patients with 129 ischemic stroke and atrial fibrillation. Ann Neurol 2021;89:42-53.
- Seiffge DJ, Meinel T, Purrucker JC, et al. Recanalisation therapies for acute ischaemic stroke in patients on direct oral anticoagulants. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2021;92:534-41.
- 131 Seiffge DJ, Wilson D, Wu TY-H. Administering thrombolysis for acute ischemic stroke in patients taking direct oral anticoagulants: to treat or how to treat. JAMA Neurol 2021:78:515-6.
- 132 Amarenco P, Bogousslavsky J, Caplan LR, et al. The ASCOD phenotyping of ischemic stroke (updated ASCO phenotyping). Cerebrovasc Dis 2013;36:1-5.
- 133 Purrucker JC, Hölscher K, Kollmer J, et al. Etiology of ischemic strokes of patients with atrial fibrillation and therapy with anticoagulants. J Clin Med 2020;9:2938.
- 134 Paciaroni M, Agnelli G, Caso V, et al. Causes and risk factors of cerebral ischemic events in patients with atrial fibrillation treated with non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulants for stroke prevention. Stroke 2019;50:2168-74.
- Steffel J, Collins R, Antz M, et al. 2021 European heart rhythm association practical 135 guide on the use of non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulants in patients with atrial fibrillation. EP Eur 2021;23:1612-76.
- 136 Seiffge DJ, De Marchis GM, Koga M, et al. Ischemic stroke despite oral anticoagulant therapy in patients with atrial fibrillation. Ann Neurol 2020;87:677-87.
- 137 Whitlock RP, Belley-Cote EP, Paparella D, et al. Left atrial appendage occlusion during cardiac surgery to prevent stroke. N Engl J Med 2021;384:2081-91.
- 138 Vermeer SE, Longstreth WT, Koudstaal PJ. Silent brain infarcts: a systematic review. Lancet Neurol 2007;6:611-9.
- Smith EE, Saposnik G, Biessels GJ, et al. Prevention of stroke in patients with silent 139 cerebrovascular disease: a scientific statement for healthcare professionals from the American heart Association/American stroke association. Stroke 2017;48:e44-71.
- 140 Debette S, Schilling S, Duperron M-G, et al. Clinical significance of magnetic resonance imaging markers of vascular brain injury: a systematic review and metaanalysis. JAMA Neurol 2019;76:81-94.
- Grundy SM, Stone NJ, Bailey AL, et al. 2018 AHA/ACC/AACVPR/AAPA/ABC/ 141 ACPM/ADA/AGS/APhA/ASPC/NLA/PCNA Guideline on the Management of Blood Cholesterol: Executive Summary: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/ American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol 2019;73:3168-209.

- 142 Poels MMF, Steyerberg EW, Wieberdink RG, et al. Assessment of cerebral small vessel disease predicts individual stroke risk. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2012;83:1174–9.
- 143 Wardlaw JM, Wardlaw Joanna M. What is a lacune? *Stroke* 2008;39:2921–2.
- 144 Williams FMK, Carter AM, Hysi PG, *et al.* Ischemic stroke is associated with the ABO locus: the EuroCLOT study. *Ann Neurol* 2013;73:16–31.
- 145 Wardlaw JM, Smith C, Dichgans M. Small vessel disease: mechanisms and clinical implications. *Lancet Neurol* 2019;18:684–96.
- 146 Gorter JW. Major bleeding during anticoagulation after cerebral ischemia: patterns and risk factors. stroke prevention in reversible ischemia trial (spirit). European atrial fibrillation trial (EAFT) study groups. *Neurology* 1999;53:1319–27.
- 147 Thoonsen H, Richard E, Bentham P, *et al*. Aspirin in Alzheimer's disease: increased risk of intracerebral hemorrhage: cause for concern? *Stroke* 2010;41:2690–2.
- Smith EE, Gurol ME, Eng JA, et al. White matter lesions, cognition, and recurrent hemorrhage in lobar intracerebral hemorrhage. *Neurology* 2004;63:1606–12.
   Akoudad S. Porteoies MLP. Koudstaal PJ, et al. Cerebral microbleeds are associated
- 149 Akoudad S, Portegies MLP, Koudstaal PJ, et al. Cerebral microbleeds are associated with an increased risk of stroke: the Rotterdam study. Circulation 2015;132:509–16.

- 150 Mogul A, Leppien EE, Laughlin E, *et al*. Aspirin for primary prevention of cardiovascular disease: a review of recent literature and updated guideline recommendations. *Expert Opin Pharmacother* 2021;22:83–91.
- 151 Wein T, Lindsay MP, Gladstone DJ, et al. Canadian stroke best practice recommendations, seventh edition: acetylsalicylic acid for prevention of vascular events. CMAJ 2020;192:E302–11.
- 152 Arnett DK, Blumenthal RS, Albert MA, *et al.* 2019 ACC/AHA guideline on the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology/ American heart association Task force on clinical practice guidelines. *Circulation* 2019;140:e596–646.
- 153 Smith EE, Barber P, Field TS, *et al.* Canadian Consensus Conference on Diagnosis and Treatment of Dementia (CCCDTD)5: Guidelines for management of vascular cognitive impairment. *Alzheimers Dement* 2020;6:e12056.
- 154 Wardlaw JM, Debette S, Jokinen H, *et al*. ESO guideline on covert cerebral small vessel disease. *Eur Stroke J* 2021;6:CXI–CLXII.

# **Supplementary Material**

#### **Table of Contents**

Pages 2 - 4Supplementary Table 1: key observational studies on anticoagulation timing

Page 5Supplementary references

# Supplementary Table 1: Key observational studies of outcomes after AF-associated acute stroke according to anticoagulation timing

Study	Population and	Timing groups	Data on stroke severity, size and HT	Follow-up period	Ischaemic outcomes	Bleeding
· ·	OAC type	88 I				outcomes
NOACISP (1)	155 participants 100% DOAC	≤7d: n = 100 >7d: n = 55	NIHSS by timing group: ≤7d: 3 (1-7) >7d: 7 (3-14)	3-6m (mean 140d)	IS annualised rate: ≤7d: 5.1% >7d: 9.3%	sICH annualised rate: ≤7d: 0.0% >7d: 0.0%
RAF-NOACs (2)	1127 participants 100% DOAC	0-2d: n = 153 3-14d: n = 710 >14d: n = 264	Mean NIHSS: 7.7 (6.2) Infarct size <sup>a</sup> : 40.9% small, 33.3% medium, 22.1% large Any HT at baseline: 9.1%	90d	IS, TIA, SE, sICH, and bleedi - 0-2d: 1 - 3-14d: - >14d:	d major extracranial ng: 2.4% 2.1% 9.1%
CROMIS-2 (3)	1355 participants 37% DOAC 63% VKA	0-4d: n = 358 > 4d: n = 977	NIHSS by timing group: 0-4d: 2 (1-4) >4d: 6 (3-11)	90d	Ischaemic stroke: 0-4d: 0.8% >4d: 1.6%	sICH: 0-4d: 0.0% >4d: 0.2%
RELAXED (4)	1305 participants 100% DOAC	<3d: n = 584 3-7d: n = 435 8-14d: n = 198 >14d: n = 88	Median NIHSS: 8 (3-17) Median initiation by NIHSS: <4: 2.8d 4-13: 3.5d >14: 4.6d Median initiation by size:	90d	Ischaemic stroke: <3d: 1.5% 3-7d: 2.5% 8-14d: 2.0% >14d: 6.8%	sICH: <3d: 0.2% 3-7d: 0.9% 8-14d: 0.0% >14d: 0.0%

			- <4cm <sup>3</sup> (34.0%): 2.9d			
			- 4 – 22.5cm <sup>3</sup> (32.5%): 2.9d			
			- >22.5cm <sup>3</sup> (33.4%): 5.8d			
			NIHSS by timing group:			
			≤72h: 3 (1-8)			
			>72h: 5 (2-13.5)			
	400	~701 000			IS/TIA:	sICH:
SAMUKAI-NVAF	499 participants	$\leq /2n$ : n = 223	Infarct size <sup>b</sup> by timing group:	90d	≤72h: 9.4%	≤72h: 0.5%
(5)	100% DOAC	>/2h: n = 2/6	≤72h: 36.4% small, 55.3% medium,		>72h: 10.5%	>72h: 1.5%
			8.3% large			
			>72h: 25.3% small, 55.5% medium,			
			19.2% large			
			NIHSS by timing group:			
			0-3d: 5 (1-9)			
			4-14d: 10 (4-16)			
			>14d: 15 (9-21)			
	1280 mantiainanta	0.24 m $- 617$	Infarcts >60cm <sup>3</sup> by timing group:		IS/TIA/SE:	sICH:
IAC	67.6% DOAC	0-3d: n = 617 4-14d: n = 535	0-3d: 5.9%	1.00	0-3d: 10.3%	0-3d: 0.3%
(6)			4-14d: 17.4%	90d	4-14d: 9.7%	4-14d: 1.7%
	31.0% VKA	>14d: n = 13/	>14d: 32.8%		>14d: 10.2%	>14d: 2.9%
			Any (HI/PH) HT at baseline:			
			0-3d: 8.6%			
			4-14d: 18.7%			
			>14d: 48.9%			

ALVO (7)	686 participants 100% DOAC Acute LVO or stenosis	<48h: n = 263 ≥48h: n = 423	NIHSS by timing group: <48h: 12 (7-18) ≥48h: 14 (6-20)	90d	IS: <48h: 3.5% ≥48h: 0.5%	sICH: <48h: 1.1% ≥48h: 1.0%
-------------	---	--------------------------------	--	-----	---------------------------------	-----------------------------------

DOAC: direct oral anticoagulant; IS: ischaemic stroke; LVO: large vessel occlusion; OAC: oral anticoagulant; SE: systemic embolism; sICH: symptomatic intracranial haemorrhage; TIA: transient ischaemic attack; VKA: vitamin K antagonist

<sup>*a*</sup> small: <1.5cm<sup>3</sup>; medium: MCA deep or superficial branch, internal borderzone, superficial ACA or PCA branch; large: complete ACA, MCA, or PCA territory, two superficial or one superficial and one deep MCA branches, >1 arterial territory, lesion >1.5cm<sup>3</sup> in brainstem or cerebellum; <sup>*b*</sup> small: <1.5cm maximum diameter; large:>1/3rd ACA, MCA, or PCA territory, or cerebellar hemisphere; medium: all others.

#### Supplementary References

- Seiffge DJ, Traenka C, Polymeris A, Hert L, Peters N, Lyrer P, et al. Early start of DOAC after ischemic 1. stroke: Risk of intracranial hemorrhage and recurrent events. Neurology. 2016 Nov 1;87(18):1856-62.
- 2. Paciaroni M, Agnelli G, Falocci N, Tsivgoulis G, Vadikolias K, Liantinioti C, et al. Early Recurrence and Major Bleeding in Patients With Acute Ischemic Stroke and Atrial Fibrillation Treated With Non-Vitamin-K Oral Anticoagulants (RAF-NOACs) Study. J Am Heart Assoc. 2017 Nov 29;6(12).
- Wilson D, Ambler G, Banerjee G, Shakeshaft C, Cohen H, Yousry TA, et al. Early versus late 3. anticoagulation for ischaemic stroke associated with atrial fibrillation: multicentre cohort study. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2019 Mar;90(3):320-5.
- 4. Yasaka M, Minematsu K, Toyoda K, Mori E, Hirano T, Hamasaki T, et al. Rivaroxaban administration after acute ischemic stroke: The RELAXED study. PloS One. 2019;14(2):e0212354.
- Mizoguchi T, Tanaka K, Toyoda K, Yoshimura S, Itabashi R, Takagi M, et al. Early Initiation of Direct 5. Oral Anticoagulants After Onset of Stroke and Short- and Long-Term Outcomes of Patients With Nonvalvular Atrial Fibrillation. Stroke. 2020 Mar;51(3):883-91.
- 6. Yaghi S, Trivedi T, Henninger N, Giles J, Liu A, Nagy M, et al. Anticoagulation Timing in Cardioembolic Stroke and Recurrent Event Risk. Ann Neurol. 2020;88(4):807-16.
- 7. Yoshimura S, Uchida K, Sakai N, Imamura H, Yamagami H, Tanaka K, et al. Safety of Early Administration of Apixaban on Clinical Outcomes in Patients with Acute Large Vessel Occlusion. Transl Stroke Res. 2020 Aug 7;